## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

## **ORDER OF REFERENCE**

Check if previously referred JOHN DENNIS V. CA/CR No. <u>CA 05-11270-GAO</u> WACHOVIA SECURITIES LLC Criminal Category \_\_\_\_\_ In accordance with 28 U.S.C. §636 and the Rules for United States Magistrates in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, the above-entitled case is referred to Magistrate Judge <u>DEIN</u> for the following proceedings: Referred for full pretrial case management, including all dispositive motions. (A) (B) Referred for full pretrial case management, not including dispositive motions: Referred for discovery purposes only. (C) X Referred for Report and Recommendation on: ) Motion(s) for injunctive relief ( ) Motion(s) for judgment on the pleadings ( ) Motion(s) for summary judgment ( ) Motion(s) to permit maintenance of a class action ( ) Motion(s) to suppress evidence ) Motion(s) to dismiss ( ) Post Conviction Proceedings<sup>1</sup> See Documents Numbered: Case referred for events only. See Doc. No(s). (D) Case referred for settlement. (E) Service as a special master for hearing, determination and report, subject to the terms of the special order (F) filed herewith: ( ) In accordance with Rule 53, F.R.Civ.P. ( ) In accordance with 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(f)(5) Χ Special Instructions: On all Pending Motions September 26, 2005 By: Paul S. Lyness Deputy Clerk Date

<sup>(</sup>Order of Ref to MJ.wpd - 05/2003)

See reverse side of order for instructions

Case 1:05-cv-11270-GAO Document 9 Filed 09/26/2005 Page 2 of 2

## **INSTRUCTIONS FOR POST-CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS**

| In accordance v<br>proceeding is re   |   | ules governing §2254 and §2255 cases the magistrate judge to whom this post-conviction shall:   |
|---|---|---|
|   | Make a  | a recommendation as to summary dismissal under Rule 4 of the Rules for §2254 and §2255 cases  |
|   | Appoin  | t counsel if the interests of justice so require  |
|   | Order is  | ssuance of appropriate process, if necessary  |
|   |   | hearing to determine whether or not an evidentiary hearing must be held and make a mendation to the district judge                                  |
|   | If the magistrate judge expects to recommend that an evidentiary hearing be held, the magistrate judg shall hold a pretrial conference for the purpose of narrowing the issue to be tried and submit a memo the district judge setting forth: |   |
|   | (a)   | a concise summary of the ultimate facts claimed by (1) petitioner (2) respondent (3) other parties;   |
|   | (b)   | the facts established by the pleadings or by stipulations of the parties which may be incorporated by reference;                                    |
|   | (c)   | any jurisdictional questions;   |
|   | (d)   | issues of law, including evidentiary questions;   |
|   | (e)   | the probable length of the evidentiary hearing.   |
| The magistrate judge may also require the parties to submit the names of witnesses whom they intend to produce, and texhibit to one another, and submit a schedule of, exhibits which they expect to offer in evidence. |   |   |
|   |   | ny issue concerning which the magistrate judge does not intend to recommend an evidentiary g, the magistrate judge shall submit a memo which shall: |
|   | (a)   | identify the relevant portions of the record or transcript of prior proceedings;  |
|   | (b)   | summarize the relevant facts;   |
|   | (c)   | summarize the parties' contentions of law with appropriate citations;   |
|   | (d)   | state the recommendations as to the disposition of such contentions of law, and the grounds therefore.  |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |
|   |   |   |

(Postconv.ins - 09/92)

(Order of Ref to MJ.wpd - 1/20/03)